## Deadlock





# Addressing Deadlock

- Prevention: Design the system so that deadlock is impossible
- Avoidance: Construct a model of system states, then choose a strategy that will not allow the system to go to a deadlock state
- Detection & Recovery: Check for deadlock (periodically or sporadically), then recover
- Manual intervention: Have the operator reboot the machine if it seems too slow

## A Model

- $P = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  be a set of processes
- $R = \{R_1, R_2, ..., R_m\}$  be a set of resources
- $c_j =$  number of units of  $R_j$  in the system
- $S = {S_0, S_1, ...}$  be a set of states representing the assignment of  $R_i$  to  $p_i$ 
  - State changes when processes take action
  - This allows us to identify a deadlock situation in the operating system

## State Transitions

- The system changes state because of the action of some process, p<sub>i</sub>
- There are three pertinent actions:
  - Request ("r<sub>i</sub>"): request one or more units of a resource
  - Allocation ("a<sub>i</sub>"): All outstanding requests from a process for a given resource are satisfied
  - Deallocation ("d<sub>i</sub>"): The process releases units of a resource

$$S_j \xrightarrow{X_i} S_k$$

## Properties of States

- Want to define deadlock in terms of patterns of transitions
- Define:  $p_i$  is <u>blocked</u> in  $S_j$  if  $p_i$  cannot cause a transition out of  $S_j$

## Properties of States

- Want to define deadlock in terms of patterns of transitions
- Define:  $p_i$  is <u>blocked</u> in  $S_j$  if  $p_i$  cannot cause a transition out of  $S_i$



## Properties of States (cont)

- If p<sub>i</sub> is blocked in S<sub>j</sub>, and will also be blocked in every S<sub>k</sub> reachable from S<sub>j</sub>, then p<sub>i</sub> is deadlocked
- $S_j$  is called a <u>deadlock state</u>

- One process, two units of one resource
- Can request one unit at a time





## Prevention

- <u>Necessary</u> conditions for deadlock
  - Mutual exclusion
  - Hold and wait
  - Circular waiting
  - No preemption
- Ensure that at least one of the necessary conditions is false at all times
  - Mutual exclusion must hold at all times

## Hold and Wait

- Need to be sure a process does not hold one resource while requesting another
- <u>Approach 1</u>: Force a process to request all resources it needs at one time
- <u>Approach 2</u>: If a process needs to acquire a new resource, it must first release all resources it holds, then reacquire all it needs
- What does this say about state transition diagrams?

## Circular Wait

• Have a situation in which there are K processes holding units of K resources





P holds R



P requests R

## Circular Wait (cont)

- There is a cycle in the graph of processes and resources
- Choose a resource request strategy by which no cycle will be introduced
- <u>Total order</u> on all resources, then can only ask for  $R_j$  if  $R_i < R_j$  for all  $R_i$  the process is currently holding

## Circular Wait (cont)

- There is a cycle in the graph of processes and resources
- Choose a resource request strategy by which no cycle will be introduced
- <u>Total order</u> on all resources, then can only ask for  $R_j$  if  $R_i < R_j$  for all  $R_i$  the process is currently holding
- Here is how we saw the easy solution for the dining philosophers

# **Allowing Preemption**

• Allow a process to time-out on a blocked request -- withdrawing the request if it fails



## Avoidance

- Construct a model of system states, then choose a strategy that will guarantees that the system will not go to a deadlock state
- Requires extra information -- the *maximum claim* for each process
- Allows resource manager to see the worst case that could happen, then to allow transitions based on that knowledge

# Safe vs Unsafe States

- <u>Safe state</u>: one in which there is guaranteed to be a sequence of transitions that leads back to the initial state
  - Even if all exercise their maximum claim, there is an allocation strategy by which all claims can be met
- <u>Unsafe state</u>: one in which the system cannot guarantee there is such a sequence
  - Unsafe state <u>can</u> lead to a deadlock state if too many processes exercise their maximum claim at once





Probability of being in unsafe state increases





Suppose all processes take "yes" branch
Avoidance strategy is to allow this to happen, yet still be safe



## Banker's Algorithm

- Let maxc[i, j] be the maximum claim for  $R_{j} \ by \ p_{i}$
- Let alloc[i, j] be the number of units of R<sub>j</sub> held by p<sub>i</sub>
- Can always compute
  - $\operatorname{avail}[j] = c_j \Sigma_{0 \le i < n} \operatorname{alloc}[i,j]$
  - Then number of available units of R<sub>i</sub>
- Should be able to determine if the state is safe or not using this info

## Banker's Algorithm

- Copy the alloc[i,j] table to alloc'[i,j]
- Given C, maxc and alloc', compute avail vector
- Find  $p_i$ : maxc[i,j] alloc'[i,j]  $\leq$  avail[j] for  $0 \leq j \leq m$  and  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

- If no such  $p_i$  exists, the state is unsafe

- If alloc'[i,j] is 0 for all i and j, the state is safe
- Set alloc'[i,j] to 0; deallocate all resources held by p<sub>i</sub>; go to Step 2

C = < 8, 2	5,9	), 7>
------------	-----	-------

_			
<u>num (</u>	<u>Claim</u>		
s R <sub>0</sub>	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$
3	2	1	4
0	2	5	2
5	1	0	5
1	5	3	0
3	0	3	3
		3 2	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

### Allocated Resources

Process	R <sub>0</sub>	$R_1$	$R_2$	<b>R</b> <sub>3</sub>
$\mathbf{p}_0$	2	0	1	1
$\mathbf{p}_1$	0	1	2	1
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	4	0	0	3
p <sub>3</sub>	0	2	1	0
p <sub>4</sub>	1	0	3	0

 $R_3$ 

4

2 5

0

3

<u>Maximum Claim</u>				
Process	R <sub>0</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	
$\mathbf{p}_0$	3	2	1	
<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	2	5	
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	5	1	0	
<b>p</b> <sub>3</sub>	1	5	3	
$p_4$	3	0	3	

•Compute total allocated

C = <8, 5, 9, 7>

### Allocated Resources

Process	R <sub>0</sub>	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$
$\mathbf{p}_0$	2	0	1	1
$\mathbf{p}_1$	0	1	2	1
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	4	0	0	3
p <sub>3</sub>	0	2	1	0
$p_4$	1	0	3	0
Sum	7	3	7	5

num	Claim	E	xat
$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$
3	2	1	4
0	2	5	2
5	1	0	5
1	5	3	0
3	0	3	3
		3 2 0 2 5 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

C = <8, 5, 9, 7>

Compute total allocated
Determine available units avail = <8-7, 5-3, 9-7, 7-5> = <1, 2, 2, 2>

#### Allocated Resources

Process	s R <sub>0</sub>	$R_1$		R <sub>3</sub>
$\mathbf{p}_0$	2	0	1	1
$\mathbf{p}_1$	0	1	2	1
$\mathbf{p}_2$	4	0	0	3
$p_3$	0	2	1	0
$p_4$	1	0	3	0
Sum	7	3	7	5

Maxim	າມກາ	Claim	E	
Process		$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$
$\mathbf{p}_0$	3	2	1	4
$p_1$	0	2	5	2
$p_2$	5	1	0	5
$p_3$	1	5	3	0
p <sub>4</sub>	3	0	3	3

Process	$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$	R <sub>3</sub>
$\mathbf{p}_0$	2	0	1	1
<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	1	2	1
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	4	0	0	3
<b>p</b> <sub>3</sub>	0	2	1	0
$p_4$	1	0	3	0
Sum	7	3	7	5

## Example

C = <8, 5, 9, 7>

Compute total allocatedDetermine available units

avail = <8-7, 5-3, 9-7, 7-5> = <1, 2, 2, 2>

•Can anyone's maxc be met?

 $maxc[2,0]-alloc'[2,0] = 5-4 = 1 \le 1 = avail[0]$  $maxc[2,1]-alloc'[2,1] = 1-0 = 1 \le 2 = avail[1]$  $maxc[2,2]-alloc'[2,2] = 0-0 = 0 \le 2 = avail[2]$  $maxc[2,3]-alloc'[2,3] = 5-3 = 2 \le 2 = avail[3]$ 

			E	L'Xat
Maxim	num	Claim		
Process	$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$	<b>R</b> <sub>3</sub>
$\mathbf{p}_0$	3	2	1	4
<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	2	5	2
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	5	1	0	5
p <sub>3</sub>	1	5	3	0
p <sub>4</sub>	3	0	3	3

Process	R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	$R_2$	$R_3$
$\mathbf{p}_0$	2	0	1	1
<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	1	2	1
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	4	0	0	3
<b>p</b> <sub>3</sub>	0	2	1	0
$p_4$	1	0	3	0
Sum	7	3	7	5

## Example

C = <8, 5, 9, 7>

Compute total allocatedDetermine available units

avail = <8-7, 5-3, 9-7, 7-5> = <1, 2, 2, 2>

•Can anyone's maxc be met?

 $maxc[2,0]-alloc'[2,0] = 5-4 = 1 \le 1 = avail[0]$   $maxc[2,1]-alloc'[2,1] = 1-0 = 1 \le 2 = avail[1]$   $maxc[2,2]-alloc'[2,2] = 0-0 = 0 \le 2 = avail[2]$  $maxc[2,3]-alloc'[2,3] = 5-3 = 2 \le 2 = avail[3]$ 

### $\bullet P_2$ can exercise max claim

avail[0] = avail[0]+alloc'[2,0] = 1+4 = 5 avail[1] = avail[1]+alloc'[2,1] = 2+0 = 2 avail[2] = avail[2]+alloc'[2,2] = 2+0 = 2 avail[3] = avail[3]+alloc'[2,3] = 2+3 = 5

Maxim	um	Claim	E	xai
Process	R <sub>0</sub>	$R_1$	$R_2$	R <sub>3</sub>
$\mathbf{p}_0$	3	2	1	4
$\mathbf{p}_1$	0	2	5	2
$\mathbf{p}_2$	5	1	0	5
$p_3$	1	5	3	0
p <sub>4</sub>	3	0	3	3

Process	R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	$R_2$	$R_3$
$\mathbf{p}_0$	2	0	1	1
$\mathbf{p}_1$	0	1	2	1
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0
<b>p</b> <sub>3</sub>	0	2	1	0
$p_4$	1	0	3	0
Sum	3	3	7	2

## Example

C = <8, 5, 9, 7>

Compute total allocatedDetermine available units

avail = <8-3, 5-3, 9-7, 7-2> = <5, 2, 2, 5>

•Can anyone's maxc be met?

 $maxc[4,0]-alloc'[4,0] = 5-1 = 4 \le 5 = avail[0]$   $maxc[4,1]-alloc'[4,1] = 0-0 = 0 \le 2 = avail[1]$   $maxc[4,2]-alloc'[4,2] = 3-3 = 0 \le 2 = avail[2]$  $maxc[4,3]-alloc'[4,3] = 3-0 = 3 \le 5 = avail[3]$ 

			E	<b>Ya</b> t
Maxim	num	Claim		
Process	$R_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$
$\mathbf{p}_0$	3	2	1	4
$\mathbf{p}_1$	0	2	5	2
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	5	1	0	5
<b>p</b> <sub>3</sub>	1	5	3	0
$\mathbf{p}_4$	3	0	3	3

R <sub>0</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	$R_2$	$R_3$
2	0	1	1
0	1	2	1
0	0	0	0
0	2	1	0
1	0	3	0
3	3	7	2
	R <sub>0</sub> 2 0 0 0 1 3	2 0 0 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

## Example

C = <8, 5, 9, 7>

Compute total allocatedDetermine available units

•Can anyone's maxc be met?

 $maxc[4,0]-alloc'[4,0] = 5-1 = 4 \le 5 = avail[0]$   $maxc[4,1]-alloc'[4,1] = 0-0 = 0 \le 2 = avail[1]$   $maxc[4,2]-alloc'[4,2] = 3-3 = 0 \le 2 = avail[2]$  $maxc[4,3]-alloc'[4,3] = 3-0 = 3 \le 5 = avail[3]$ 

### •P<sub>4</sub> can exercise max claim

avail[0] = avail[0]+alloc'[4,0] = 5+1 = 6 avail[1] = avail[1]+alloc'[4,1] = 2+0 = 2 avail[2] = avail[2]+alloc'[4,2] = 2+3 = 5 avail[3] = avail[3]+alloc'[4,3] = 5+0 = 5

Maxim		Claim	E	Xa
			D	р
Process	$\mathbf{K}_0$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_3$
$\mathbf{p}_0$	3	2	1	4
<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	2	5	2
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	5	1	0	5
<b>p</b> <sub>3</sub>	1	5	3	0
$p_4$	3	0	3	3

Process	s R <sub>0</sub>	$R_1$	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>
$\mathbf{p}_0$	2	0	1	1
<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	1	2	1
<b>p</b> <sub>2</sub>	0	0	0	0
$p_3$	0	2	1	0
$p_4$	0	0	0	0
Sum	2	1	4	2

# Example

C = <8, 5, 9, 7>

Compute total allocatedDetermine available units

avail = <8-7, 5-3, 9-7, 7-5> = <6, 2, 5, 5>

•Can anyone's maxc be met? (Yes, any of them can)

# Detection & Recovery

- Check for deadlock (periodically or sporadically), then recover
- Can be far more aggressive with allocation
- No maximum claim, no safe/unsafe states
- Differentiate between
  - Serially reusable resources: A unit must be allocated before being released
  - Consumable resources: Never release acquired resources; resource count is number currently available

# Reusable Resource Graphs (RRGs)

- Micro model to describe a single state
- Nodes = { $p_0, p_1, ..., p_n$ }  $\cup$  { $R_1, R_2, ..., R_m$ }
- Edges connect p<sub>i</sub> to R<sub>j</sub>, or R<sub>j</sub> to p<sub>i</sub>
   (p<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>j</sub>) is a request edge for one unit of R<sub>j</sub>
   (R<sub>j</sub>, p<sub>i</sub>) is an assignment edge of one unit of R<sub>j</sub>
- For each  $R_j$  there is a count,  $c_j$  of units  $R_j$
- Number of units of R<sub>j</sub> allocated to p<sub>i</sub> plus the number requested by p<sub>i</sub> cannot exceed c<sub>i</sub>




Not a Deadlock State

No Cycle in the Graph

#### State Transitions due to Request

- In S<sub>j</sub>,  $p_i$  is allowed to request  $q \le c_h$  units of R<sub>h</sub>, provided  $p_i$  has no outstanding requests.
- $S_j \rightarrow S_k$ , where the RRG for  $S_k$  is derived from  $S_j$  by adding q request edges from  $p_i$  to  $R_h$



## State Transition for Acquire

- In S<sub>j</sub>, p<sub>i</sub> is allowed to acquire units of R<sub>h</sub>, iff there is (p<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>h</sub>) in the graph, and all can be satisfied.
- $S_j \rightarrow S_k$ , where the RRG for  $S_k$  is derived from  $S_j$  by changing each request edge to an assignment edge.



### State Transition for Release

- In S<sub>j</sub>, p<sub>i</sub> is allowed to release units of R<sub>h</sub>, iff there is (R<sub>h</sub>, p<sub>i</sub>) in the graph, and there is no request edge from p<sub>i</sub>.
- $S_j \rightarrow S_k$ , where the RRG for  $S_k$  is derived from  $S_j$  by deleting all assignment edges.





 $\mathbf{S}_{00}$ 



















# Graph Reduction

- Deadlock state if there is no sequence of transitions unblocking every process
- A RRG represents a state; can analyze the RRG to determine if there is a sequence
- A graph reduction represents the (optimal) action of an unblocked process. Can reduce by p<sub>i</sub> if
  - p<sub>i</sub> is not blocked
  - $p_i$  has no request edges, and there are  $(R_j, p_i)$  in the RRG

## Graph Reduction (cont)

- Transforms RRG to another RRG with all assignment edges into p<sub>i</sub> removed
- Represents p<sub>i</sub> releasing the resources it holds



#### Graph Reduction (cont)

- A RRG is completely reducible if there a sequence of reductions that leads to a RRG with no edges
- A state is a deadlock state if and only if the RRG is not completely reducible.

#### Example RRG











#### Example RRG



# Consumable Resource Graphs (CRGs)

- Number of units varies, have producers/consumers
- Nodes = { $p_0, p_1, ..., p_n$ }  $\cup$  { $R_1, R_2, ..., R_m$ }
- Edges connect p<sub>i</sub> to R<sub>j</sub>, or R<sub>j</sub> to p<sub>i</sub>
  - $-(p_i, R_j)$  is a request edge for one unit of  $R_j$
  - $-(R_j, p_i)$  is an producer edge (must have at least one producer for each  $R_j$ )
- For each  $R_j$  there is a count,  $w_j$  of units  $R_j$

## State Transitions due to Request

- In  $S_j$ ,  $p_i$  is allowed to request any number of units of  $R_h$ , provided  $p_i$  has no outstanding requests.
- $S_j \rightarrow S_k$ , where the RRG for  $S_k$  is derived from Sj by adding q request edges from  $p_i$  to  $R_h$  q edges



## State Transition for Acquire

- In S<sub>j</sub>, p<sub>i</sub> is allowed to acquire units of R<sub>h</sub>, iff there is (p<sub>i</sub>, R<sub>h</sub>) in the graph, and all can be satisfied.
- $S_j \rightarrow S_k$ , where the RRG for  $S_k$  is derived from Sj by deleting each request edge and decrementing  $w_h$ .



## State Transition for Release

- In S<sub>j</sub>, p<sub>i</sub> is allowed to release units of R<sub>h</sub>, iff there is (R<sub>h</sub>, p<sub>i</sub>) in the graph, and there is no request edge from p<sub>i</sub>.
- $S_j \rightarrow S_k$ , where the RRG for  $S_k$  is derived from  $S_j$  by incrementing  $w_h$ .













#### Deadlock Detection

- May have a CRG that is not completely reducible, but it is not a deadlock state
- For each process:
  - Find at least one sequence which leaves each process unblocked.
- There may be *different* sequences for different processes -- not necessarily an efficient approach

#### Deadlock Detection

- May have a CRG that is not completely reducible, but it is not a deadlock state
- Only need to find sequences, which leave each process unblocked.



#### Deadlock Detection

- May have a CRG that is not completely reducible, but it is not a deadlock state
- Only need to find a set of sequences, which leaves each process unblocked.



#### General Resource Graphs

- Have consumable and reusable resources
- Apply consumable reductions to consumables, and reusable reductions to reusables
- See Figure 10.29

## GRG Example (Fig 10.29)







← Not in Fig 10.29

## GRG Example (Fig 10.29)







## GRG Example (Fig 10.29)



## Recovery

- No magic here
  - Choose a blocked resource
  - Preempt it (releasing its resources)
  - Run the detection algorithm
  - Iterate if until the state is not a deadlock state